



---

**WILLIAM WOODS  
UNIVERSITY**

---

**Biology BA Annual Assessment 2020-2021**

## Contents

Annual Assessment 2020-2021 .....	3
Biology BA .....	3
Program Profile .....	3
Program Assessment.....	4
Curriculum Map.....	8
Assessment Findings.....	9
Program Activities .....	25
Assessment Rubric .....	30

## Annual Assessment 2020-2021

### Biology BA

#### Program Profile

##### Program Mission Statement

*Please insert your program mission statement here*

A program designed to both educate students and prepare them for immediate careers in the biological sciences (especially those in ecology or conservation), or for acceptance into graduate programs.

#### Program Data

##### Delivery Method

Traditional On Campus (selected)  
 Online  
 Hybrid

##### Students Majors 2019-2020

##### Student Minors 2019-20

15

5

##### Student Majors 2020-2021

##### Student Minors 2020-2021

16

6

##### Concentrations 2019-2020

*If your program contains concentrations, please list the concentrations and the number of students identified within each concentration.*

N/A

##### Concentrations 2020-2021

*If your program contains concentrations, please list the concentrations and the number of students identified with each concentration.*

N/A

#### Student Demographics

*What are the program goals for student retention, persistence and degree completion? What do the persistence numbers mean to the faculty in the program? Are your persistence numbers what you expected? If not, how could the numbers be improved?*

Our Department has a program goal of 75% retention between freshman and sophomores, a 90% persistence per year, and with a 100% completing the program that enter their Senior year.

The retention data shows that 100%, way above our benchmark as well as the retention rate for the University. By our program goal mentioned above, we would then expect a graduation rate ~60%. The current data shows a graduation rate of 70% for new students who entered during 2014/2015, and a 100% graduation rate for those students that transferred during the same 2014/2015 academic year. Many transfer students are told they can finish their degree in one year, which is not the case since nearly all of our upper division Biology courses have General Biology II (BIO124/125) and General Chemistry II (CHM124/125). So completion of a Biology degree is at least a two year process, and if they transfer in January, that could mean 2.5 years.

While the Biology BA degree has low enrollment numbers, since the 2018/2019 academic year there is a trend of the major growing. The Biology faculty feel more students are understanding the advantages and flexibility of the Biology BA program as well as having a slightly higher number of students interested in ecology/conservation. We do feel better marketing of this major would lead to an increase in the number of students in the program. Larger enrollment could also help with the retention number as students would be selecting that program and be more likely to stay enrolled and Biology BA majors.

### **Optimal Enrollment**

*Considering current human and physical resources, what is the optimal enrollment for the program?*

25

### **Is the Program Externally Accredited**

Yes

No (selected)

### **External Accreditation**

*Name the Accrediting Agency or entity including the last review/approval. Is there an accrediting body for the field of study? If yes, what is the name of the group. Is the program seeking accreditation? If no, why?*

N/A

### **Marketing Materials**

*Please reflect on the current marketing materials used for the program. Detail what documents you are reviewing and attach a screenshot of any webpages or materials that you cannot include as a document. What changes, if any should be made to the material? Are there recommendations for how or where to market the program?*

The Biology faculty helped marketing develop a new page sheet in 2018-2019 and we were sure to include the BA in the marketing sheet. With a change in the head of Marketing and the head of Admissions, the Biology faculty are optimistically hopeful about better marketing and enrollment in the BA program. We have previously indicated recruiting for the BA through conservation, wildlife, and hunting clubs, as well as through 4-H clubs and FFA chapters would be a plan of action for increasing interest in this Degree plan. We hope to meet with the new Director of Admission and the new head of Marketing to ensure the BA Biology Degree is being recruited to its fullest.

### **Marketing Material**

## **Program Assessment**

### **Standard/Outcome**

Identifier	Description
<b>WWU2016.1</b>	Major Field Competence: Students will demonstrate excellence in an academic or professional discipline, and engage in the process of academic discovery.
<b>WWU2016.2</b>	Ethics: Students will exhibit values and behaviors that address self- respect and respect for others that will enable success and participation in the larger society.
<b>WWU2016.3</b>	Self-Liberation: Students will develop an honest understanding and appreciation of themselves and others resulting in an ability to make individual decisions.
<b>WWU2016.4</b>	Lifelong Education: Students will possess an intellectual curiosity and desire for continual learning both within and beyond formal education in preparation for participation in a global society.

#### Additional Standards/Outcomes

Identifier	Description
<b>BIO 2019.4</b>	Information and Energy: Demonstrate knowledge of major conserved metabolic, signaling, heritable, and molecular processes of all life on Earth.
<b>BIO.1</b>	Evolution: Articulate knowledge that life evolved over time via mechanisms of mutation, natural selection, and genetic drift, and that there is concrete evidence for this fundamental concept _ evolution from common ancestry _ in the unity of numerous biological processes among species.
<b>BIO.2</b>	Interdisciplinary: Demonstrate that fundamental principles and laws of chemistry and physics are also underpinnings that govern complex living systems.
<b>BIO.3</b>	Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.
<b>BIO.4</b>	Information and Energy: Demonstrate knowledge of major conserved metabolic, signaling, heritable, and molecular processes of all life on Earth.

#### Alignment to the University Objectives

*Please discuss the program alignment to the University Objectives. We do not need an artifact for each objective, but a discussion on how the program uses the Institutional Objectives as an anchor for their program curriculum.*

#### **WWU2016.1 Major Field Competence: Students will demonstrate excellence in an academic or professional discipline, and engage in the process of academic discovery.**

Students are strongly encouraged to get shadowing hours and/or internships, as well as relevant professional jobs as well, during the school year, but primarily over the breaks. This is accomplished through formal and informal advising. The faculty all help with this process, as well as have classes specific to enable them to prepare for their future career (i.e. BIO 450).

#### **WWU2016.2 Ethics: Students will exhibit values and behaviors that address self- respect and respect for others that will enable success and participation in the larger society.**

Much of our curriculum includes writing scientific papers, which has an ethical culture to itself. Students learn how to appropriately use other people's work, while giving them credit, and not plagiarizing. Additionally we do lots of group-work in and outside of the labs and classes that ensure our students develop the skills to respectfully and successfully work with others.

#### **WWU2016.3 Self-Liberation: Students will develop an honest understanding and appreciation of themselves and others resulting in an ability to make individual decisions.**

Though we help students get and find internships, shadowing hours, and professional work, we do not hold their hand. They must do much of the work themselves, knowing they have us as support. This allows them to safely, and autonomously, make important career and life decisions, building their self-confidence and awareness that they can do it.

**WWU2016.4 Lifelong Education: Students will possess an intellectual curiosity and desire for continual learning both within and beyond formal education in preparation for participation in a global society.**

Our program has a strong push towards intellectual curiosity and continual learning that goes beyond information that should be learned for a test. From ethics discussions and having interesting speakers from a variety of biology backgrounds that our students are strongly encouraged to attend, to the self-designed experiments that are required in many of the biology courses (all biology students will have at least three major self-designed projects, many will have six) students have lots of opportunities to see how biology fits into the broader world. This preparation prepares our students to participate in the global society with an understanding that biology is relevant in today's world and impacts choices and policies. Furthermore, by experiencing a broad range of biological topics and having experiencing researching topics for themselves, students will be better able to understand how they can find information out for themselves and will have the tools needed to pursuing continual learning even after they graduate.

**General Education Alignment to Program**

*How do the General Education criteria align with the Program Objectives? What courses within your program build upon skills learned in general education courses (please list the program course and the general education criteria). The General Education clusters are: Critical Analysis, Creative Expression, Quantitative Inquiry, and Society & the Individual. See attached for more detailed breakdown.*

**Critical Analysis: (9 credit hours) – Students apply logical and analytical reasoning skills to diverse source materials in the interest of discerning and debating aesthetic, thematic, and ethical content.**

In all biology coursework, students are expected to integrate sound logical arguments with the scientific method. Students are expected to analyze and interpret general textbooks, primary scientific literature, and data. Throughout biology courses, students are expected to articulate the ethical interface of scientific practice and general societal issues, as well demonstrate integrity in their own scientific communications (oral and written).

**Creative Expression: (12 credit hours) – Students develop the ability to express ideas and concepts, both logically and creatively, through written, oral, reflective, and aesthetic practices utilizing various media forms.**

In all biology coursework, students are expected to demonstrate creative and independent generation of ideas based upon scientific parameters that they are presented, e.g. independently generating novel hypotheses regarding specific issues that they might be given. Students are expected to prepare and perform presentations on content-specific topics, in addition to extensive written technical papers and essays.

**Quantitative Inquiry: (10 credit hours) – Students will develop and practice quantitative problem-solving skills in order to analyze and critically evaluate information in a larger context.**

Quantitative inquiry is the foundation of the entire biology program. In all biology coursework students are expected to analyze data, evaluate it critically, and to be able to generate and interpret statistics. Math courses provide students with the quantitative background to perform these activities.

**Society & the Individual: (12 credit hours) – Students integrate knowledge to articulate an understanding of diverse cultures, historical contexts, and human behaviors.**

In all biology coursework students are expected to apply their knowledge of human behavior in the context of molecular to organismal processes (e.g. how the human body works and thinks) in addition to the formation of new scientific ideas. Students are expected to be able to articulate that there are variable correct interpretations of authoritative scientific principles and demonstrate competency with the historical development of scientific principles – that the natural process of scientific development involves building upon the ideas of scientific progenitors.

GE\_Cluster\_Descriptions\_FINAL\_Version\_Approved.docx

## NSSE Objectives Discussed Fall 2019

### Program Alignment to NSSE Objectives

*How did your program integrate the three NSSE objectives determined by the faculty this fall. The objectives were to 1) integrate more interdisciplinary work within the curriculum, 2) to connect learning to societal problems or issues, and 3) to examine the strengths and weaknesses of their (students) own views on a topic or issue. Please articulate which courses, and what assignments were assigned and how the work was assessed. Were the assignments successful? What could have made them more successful?*

Our program integrated the three NSSE objectives into individual courses at the discretion of the professor. Illustrative examples of these integrative activities and their assessments are included below. The Biology Faculty will have a discussion prior to the start of the Fall 2021 semester to determine if addressing these NSSE objectives will be best served by continuing to address these individually, or if a program-wide approach to these objectives would better meet the needs of the students.

### 1) integrate more interdisciplinary work within the curriculum

Dr. Kimberly Keller had a strong push for interdisciplinary work in her classes. Unfortunately, due to COVID, the annual project between her Genetics class (Bio 231/232) with Dr. Antje Heese (Associate Professor) from the Biochemistry Department at the University of Missouri to participating in their research by trying to identify a mutant in the plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, using PCR genotyping. The work is cross-disciplinary and real-life, both aspects that the students found meaningful. The students' work was assessed via lab-report (and questions on the lab exam). This activity is extremely successful both in students' perceptions, and in what they learned from the activities. Dr. Keller plans to reinstate this collaborative learning activity in the 2021-2022.

Similarly, in Dr. Keller's Microbiology class (BIO303/304), our students learn about the "One Health Initiative" through a collaborative lab with Dr. Paul Schiltz and the Equestrian Department learning to do fecal Egg counts on samples from the University equine herd. As above, the interdisciplinary work was exciting to the students who got to see how biology knowledge translates into health initiatives. Dr. Keller's Molecular Biotechnology (BIO414/415) also worked with Dr. Schiltz on a Platlet-Rich Plasma protocol comparison and our students also attempted to identify an antibiotic bacteria from wound on one of the horses that would not heal.

### 2) to connect learning to societal problems or issues

All of our biology classes connect with societal problems or issues—these range from environmental and conservation issues (strongly addressed in Environmental Science BIO 209, Ecology BIO 330/331) to human medical and ethical challenges (strongly addressed in Genetics BIO 231/232, Microbiology Bio 303/304, Human Anatomy and Physiology BIO 314/314, and Molecular Biotechnology BIO414/415).

While many of these issues are addressed as the naturally arise from the material being learned (e.g. the ethical implications of altering DNA, the role of antibiotic overuse contributing to "superbugs", the interactions of species on each others' survival) we did seek to explicitly connect learning to societal problems or issues.

### 3) to examine the strengths and weaknesses of their (students) own views on a topic or issue

All of the upper-level biology classes, and many of the lower-level ones, including Gen Bio 1 and Gen BIO 2 (BIO 114/115, BIO 124/125) include a research paper or project. These projects and/or papers are assessed part-way through the course, giving the students feedback on the strength of their mastery and understanding of the topic as well as providing them information about their weaknesses in the area. This method allows students to build on their strengths and address their weaknesses prior to completing their final projects.

This feedback is given by the instructor.

A new activity that directly examined students' own views on topics was done in Human Anatomy and Physiology 2 lab (BIO 324). The students had a whole lab period where they were given a list of anatomical misconceptions, and were required to find at least one that they thought was true, and figure out why it wasn't. Similarly, they needed to explain away at least one misconception that a lab-mate had, as well as explain the reason that certain misconceptions are so prevalent. This was assessed as a lab assignment and was successful as it had students evaluate their own assumptions and investigate the strengths and weaknesses of their ideas. In the future, we anticipate using this direct method of "examine the ideas you have and explain the common errors that are made in this area" could be a valuable teaching method in numerous biology courses.

## Curriculum Map

A - Assessed

R - Reinforced

I - Introduced

M - Master

### Biology BA Curriculum Map

	BIO 114	BIO 115	BIO 124	BIO 231	BIO 310	BIO 313	BIO 317	BIO 330	BIO 401	BIO 450	CHM 114	CHM 124
<b>BIO 2019.4</b> Information and Energy: Demonstrate knowledge of major conserved metabolic, signaling, heritable, and molecular processes of all life on Earth.	I	A	R	A, R	R	R	R	R	R		I	R
<b>BIO.1</b> Evolution: Articulate knowledge that life evolved over time via mechanisms of mutation, natural selection, and genetic drift, and that there is concrete evidence for this fundamental concept – evolution from common ancestry – in the unity of numerous biological processes among species.	I	A	R	R	R	R	R	R	M, A			
<b>BIO.2</b> Interdisciplinary: Demonstrate that fundamental principles and laws of chemistry and physics are also underpinnings that govern complex living systems.	I, A	A	R	R	R	R	R	R	R		I	R
<b>BIO.3</b> Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.	I	A	R, A	R	R	R	R	R	M			R

	CHM 314	MAT 124	MAT 304	Student Performance Review
<b>BIO 2019.4</b> Information and Energy: Demonstrate knowledge of major conserved metabolic, signaling, heritable, and molecular processes of all life on Earth.	R	R	R	A
<b>BIO.1</b> Evolution: Articulate knowledge that life evolved over time via mechanisms of mutation, natural selection, and genetic drift, and that there is concrete evidence for this fundamental concept – evolution from common ancestry – in the unity of numerous biological processes among species.				A
<b>BIO.2</b> Interdisciplinary: Demonstrate that fundamental principles and laws of chemistry and physics are also underpinnings that govern complex living systems.	R	R	R	A
<b>BIO.3</b> Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.	R			A

### Changes to Curriculum

Are there any changes made to the curriculum map for this academic year? If so, please describe the program changes made along with the rationale for why and the impact the change should have on student learning?

Slight changes were made to the curriculum map to align the curriculum map to our current concentration checklists; however, none of the changes to the curriculum map affected the Assessment Map.

Biology Faculty will have a discussion before the start of the Fall 2021 semester about Assessment and to determine if any of our required upper division courses should be used for Assessment.

## Assessment Findings

### Assessment Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Biology BA Curriculum Map

BIO 2019.4 Information and Energy: Demonstrate knowledge of major conserved metabolic, signaling, heritable, and molecular processes of all life on Earth.				
<b>BIO 115</b>				
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Percentile Rank (This scores students in all 4 sections of the MFT) There is no score Benchmark = this test is given to our incoming Biology majors to determine the baseline for each student for the exam. Biology Majors will retake the Major	100% of the students declared as Biology Majors took the MFT (n		

	Field Test exam as exiting seniors and scores will be compared in order to determine “knowledge gained” from completion of the program. Benchmark = 100% of the declared Biology Majors will take the exam (those declared at the time of test administration). been met yet? Met	= 9).		
--	--	-------	--	--

**BIO 231**

Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives
Direct - Quiz/Exam	Has the criterion An assessment specific quiz (BIO231) will be used to ensure that assessment questions are direct and relevant to objective 4. The benchmark is 70% of the students at Proficient or better. Proficient is defined as 70% or better on the assessed questions. been met yet? Met	70% of students scored a 70% or better on the Assessment Quiz (n= 23).	Quiz_11_Assessment _Quiz_for_2020 .docx	

**Student Performance Review**

Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Percentile Rank (This scores students in all 4 sections of the MFT) Benchmark = 50% of students scoring in the 50th percentile or higher. been met yet? Not met	The benchmark was Not Met as only 20% of the students received a MFT test score placing them in the 50th percentile or higher. (n = 5)	MFT_Data_for_Biology_Department_as_whole_for_report.xlsx Comparison_of_Freshman_MFT_Scores_to_Senior_MFT_Scores_Knowledge_Gained.xlsx	- Request Additional Support: We still feel this is the benchmark we want our students to reach. This degree always deals with a small n number (only 5) and one student was a true outlier scoring in the 1st percentile, so that student will pull any and all of the data for this cohort.

BIO.1 Evolution: Articulate knowledge that life evolved over time via mechanisms of mutation, natural selection, and genetic drift, and that there is concrete evidence for this fundamental concept \_ evolution from common ancestry \_ in the unity of numerous biological processes among species.

<b>BIO 115</b>				
<b>Assessment Measure</b>	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Attachments of the Assessments</b>	<b>Improvement Narratives</b>
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: III There is no score Benchmark = this test is given to our incoming Biology majors to determine the baseline for each student for the exam. Biology Majors will retake the Major Field Test exam as exiting seniors and scores will be compared in order to determine "knowledge gained" from completion of the program. Benchmark = 100% of the declared Biology Majors will take the exam (those declared at the time of test administration). been met yet? Met	100% of the students declared as Biology Majors took the MFT (n = 9).		
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: IV There is no score Benchmark = this test is given to our incoming Biology majors to determine the baseline for each student for the exam. Biology Majors will retake the Major Field Test exam as exiting seniors and scores will be compared in order to determine "knowledge gained" from completion of the program. Benchmark = 100% of the declared Biology Majors will take the exam (those declared at the time of test administration). been met yet? Met	100% of the students declared as Biology Majors took the MFT (n = 9).		

<b>BIO 401</b>				
<b>Assessment Measure</b>	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Attachments of the Assessments</b>	<b>Improvement Narratives</b>
Direct - Quiz/Exam	Has the criterion An assessment specific quiz (BIO401) will be used to ensure that assessment questions are direct and relevant to objective 1. The benchmark is 70% of the students at Proficient or better. Proficient is defined as 70% or better on the assessed questions. been met yet? Met	This benchmark was Met as 95% of the students scored proficient or better (n=22)		

<b>Student Performance Review</b>				
<b>Assessment Measure</b>	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Attachments of the</b>	<b>Improvement Narratives</b>

			Assessments	
Direct - Research Paper	Has the criterion Two weeks prior to assessment, students will be emailed a peer-reviewed journal article about research in the field of Ecology. On Assessment Day, students will be asked to analyze a specific figure from the article and given 15 minutes to complete the assignment in VIA. Benchmark: 70% of students scoring an average of 3/5 or higher on interview questions been met yet? Not met	The Biology Faculty redesigned this portion of the University's Student Performance Days (SPD) to be more of a data analysis component. While we are happy with the choice to include this component in our SPD as this a skill our Biology Majors will need to have in a science career, we still feel it needs the Assessment delivery needs to be tweaked in order to allow for data analysis and for content related to Biology Objective 1. While not exactly related to the content in Objective 1, Criterion was Met as 79% of the students scored an average of 3/5 or higher on their scores.	Modeling_the_status____trends____and_imparts_of_wild_bees.pdf SPR_questions_for_bees_Obj_1.docx	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Revise Assignment for Assessment: The Biology Faculty feel we almost have this Assessment Tool to the way we want it. Next year, we will have 1 journal article for this Assessment in which the content is related to the objective and still contains a Data Analysis component.</li> <li>- Refine Assessment Tool: This year we had multiple questions per journal article and each faculty Assessed/Scored the multiple questions they asked about their journal article and then averaged the score of the responses. This average score was then used to determine the student's average score on the questions. Next year, we plan to refine the Assessment Tool by only asking a single question (with multiple components or specific prompts). A single question would allow for all three faculty to Assess the student answers, providing a true average of their response.</li> </ul>
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: III Benchmark = Average score of 53 or higher on section, with 60% of students scoring a 46 or higher. been met yet? Not met	Both of the criterions were Not Met on Section III of the MFT this year as the average score was 46 for the students and only 20% of the students scored a 46 or higher (n=5).		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Request Additional Support: Unsure why this cohort scored so low, but we do know the size of this cohort is a problem. Combine BA and BS report into one Report.</li> </ul>
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: IV Benchmark = Average score of 53 or higher on section, with 60% of	Both of the criterions were Not Met on Section IV of the MFT this year as the average score was 46 for the students and only 40%		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Request Additional Support: Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average</li> </ul>

	students scoring a 51 or higher. been met yet? Not met	of the students scored a 46 or higher (n=5).		score would be 50 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.
--	---	--	--	---

BIO.2 Interdisciplinary: Demonstrate that fundamental principles and laws of chemistry and physics are also underpinnings that govern complex living systems.

<b>BIO 114</b>				
<b>Assessment Measure</b>	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Attachments of the Assessments</b>	<b>Improvement Narratives</b>
Direct - Quiz/Exam	Has the criterion Questions from the First lecture Exam (BIO114) that were relevant to objective 2 were selected for assessment. The benchmark is 70% of the students at Proficient or better. Proficient is defined as 70% or better on the assessed questions. been met yet? Met	89% of the students were proficient or better (n = 44)	Assesment_questions_Bio_114_exam_1_2020.docx	

<b>BIO 115</b>				
<b>Assessment Measure</b>	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Attachments of the Assessments</b>	<b>Improvement Narratives</b>
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Biology Major Field Test - Section: I There is no score Benchmark = this test is given to our incoming Biology majors to determine the baseline for each student for the exam. Biology Majors will retake the Major Field Test exam as exiting seniors and scores will be compared in order to determine "knowledge gained" from completion of the program. Benchmark = 100% of the declared Biology Majors will take the exam (those declared at the time of test administration). been met yet? Met	100% of the students declared as Biology Majors took the MFT (n = 9).		
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: II There is no score Benchmark = this test is given to our incoming Biology majors to determine the baseline for each student for the exam. Biology Majors will retake the Major Field Test exam as exiting seniors and scores will be compared in order to determine "knowledge gained" from completion of the	100% of the students declared as Biology Majors took the MFT (n = 9).		

	program. Benchmark = 100% of the declared Biology Majors will take the exam (those declared at the time of test administration). been met yet? Met			
--	---	--	--	--

<b>Student Performance Review</b>				
<b>Assessment Measure</b>	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Attachments of the Assessments</b>	<b>Improvement Narratives</b>
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: I Benchmark = Average score of 53 or higher on section, with 60% of students scoring at or above 51. been met yet? Not met	Both of the criterions were Not Met on Section I of the MFT this year as the average score was 41 for the students and only 40% of the students scored a 51 or higher (n=5).		- Request Additional Support: Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 44 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: II Benchmark = Average score of 53 or higher on section, with 60% of students scoring at or above 51. been met yet? Not met	Both of the criterions were Not Met on Section II of the MFT this year as the average score was 45 for the students and only 40% of the students scored a 51 or higher (n=5)		- Request Additional Support: Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 51 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.

BIO.3 Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.
---

<b>BIO 115</b>				
<b>Assessment Measure</b>	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Attachments of the Assessments</b>	<b>Improvement Narratives</b>
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Biology Major Field Test - Section: I There is no score Benchmark = this test is given to our incoming Biology majors to determine the baseline for each student for the exam. Biology Majors will retake the Major Field Test exam as exiting seniors and scores will be compared in order to determine "knowledge gained" from completion of the program. Benchmark = 100% of the declared Biology Majors will take the exam (those declared at the time of test administration). been met yet?	100% of the students declared as Biology Majors took the MFT (n = 9).		

	Met			
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: II There is no score Benchmark = this test is given to our incoming Biology majors to determine the baseline for each student for the exam. Biology Majors will retake the Major Field Test exam as exiting seniors and scores will be compared in order to determine "knowledge gained" from completion of the program. Benchmark = 100% of the declared Biology Majors will take the exam (those declared at the time of test administration). been met yet? Met	100% of the students declared as Biology Majors took the MFT (n = 9).		
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: III There is no score Benchmark = this test is given to our incoming Biology majors to determine the baseline for each student for the exam. Biology Majors will retake the Major Field Test exam as exiting seniors and scores will be compared in order to determine "knowledge gained" from completion of the program. Benchmark = 100% of the declared Biology Majors will take the exam (those declared at the time of test administration). been met yet? Met	100% of the students declared as Biology Majors took the MFT (n = 9).		

<b>BIO 124</b>				
<b>Assessment Measure</b>	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Attachments of the Assessments</b>	<b>Improvement Narratives</b>
Direct - Quiz/Exam	Has the criterion An assessment specific quiz (BIO124) will be used to ensure that assessment questions are direct and relevant to objective 3. The benchmark is 70% of the students at Proficient or better. Proficient is defined as 70% or better on the assessed questions. been met yet? Not met	The bench was Not Met as only 57% of the students scored proficient (70% on assessed questions) or better. (n=21)		- Enrollment Requirements: We know this group of students, as a whole, were at a disadvantage due to a large portion of their senior year in high school was virtual due to COVID-19 and have trouble adapting to college. In addition, we know the overall academic score of this freshman class is lower than it has been in the past. We hope the new Director of Admissions will make a difference for incoming classes. We do not feel any need to change this benchmark.

Student Performance Review				
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives
Direct - Research Paper	<p>Has the criterion Two weeks prior to assessment, students will be emailed a peer-reviewed journal research article in the field of Molecular Structure. On Assessment Day, students will be asked to analyze a specific figure from that article and given 15 minutes to complete the assignment in VIA. Benchmark: 70% of students scoring an average of 3/5 or higher on interview questions been met yet?</p> <p>Not met</p>	<p>The Biology Faculty redesigned this portion of the University's Student Performance Days (SPD) to be more of a data analysis component. While we are happy with the choice to include this component in our SPD as this a skill our Biology Majors will need to have in a science career, we still feel it needs the Assessment delivery needs to be tweaked in order to allow for data analysis and for content related to Biology Objective 3. In an attempt to give students some choice, we offered two Journal articles for this portion of the Assessment. The student answers from one of the paper Met the criterion while the answers for the other paper did not. The Neurogenesis Paper - the Criterion was Met with 83% of the students scoring 3 or greater on the average of their answers regarding the question. (n = 23) The Transcription CRISPR paper - The Criterion was Not Met as only 67% of the students scored an average of 3/5 or higher on the questions related to the analysis of the data. (n = 6) However, if you</p>	<p>Neurogenesis_in_the_adult_human_hippo_campus.pdf</p> <p>Transcriptional_Inhibition_of_lncRNA_gad_d7_by_CRISPR_dCas9_KRAB_Protects_Spermatocyte_Viability.pdf</p> <p>Questions_Neurogenesis_in_the_adult_human_hippocampus_2_.docx</p> <p>SPR_questions_for_the_CRISPR_Cas_Paper.docx</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Revise Assignment for Assessment: The Biology Faculty feel we almost have this Assessment Tool to the way we want it. Next year, we will have 1 journal article for this Assessment, to ensure all students are Assessed on the same content and Data Analysis.</li> <li>- Refine Assessment Tool: This year we had multiple questions per journal article and each faculty Assessed/Scored the multiple questions they asked about their journal article and then averaged the score of the responses. This average score was then used to determine the student's average score on the questions. Next year, we plan to refine the Assessment Tool by only asking a single question (with multiple components or specific prompts). A single question would allow for all three faculty to Assess the student answers, providing a true average of their response.</li> </ul>

		combined the results from both papers, then the Criterion was Met as 79% of the students scored an average of 3/5 or higher on their scores.		
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: I Benchmark = Average score of 53 or higher on section, with 60% of students scoring at or above 51. been met yet? Not met	Both of the criterions were Not Met on Section I of the MFT this year as the average score was 41 for the students and only 40% of the students scored a 51 or higher (n=5).		- Request Additional Support: Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 44 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: II Benchmark = Average score of 53 or higher on section, with 60% of students scoring at or above 51. been met yet? Not met	Both of the criterions were Not Met on Section III of the MFT this year as the average score was 46 for the students and only 20% of the students scored a 46 or higher (n=5).		- Request Additional Support: Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 51 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.
Direct - External Testing	Has the criterion Major Field Test - Section: III Benchmark = Average score of 53 or higher on section, with 60% of students scoring at or above 46. been met yet? Not met	Both of the criterions were Not Met on Section III of the MFT this year as the average score was 46 for the students and only 20% of the students scored a 46 or higher (n=5).		- Request Additional Support: Unsure why this cohort scored so low, but we do know the size of this cohort is a problem. Combine BA and BS report into one Report.

### Analysis of the Assessment Process

Describe your assessment process; clearly articulate how the program is using course work and or assessment day activities for program assessment. Note any changes that occurred to that process since the previous year. Discuss what activities were successful at assessment and which ones were not as helpful and why. Please include who met to discuss the changes (unless you are a program of one person) and when you met. – Include a discussion on the process for collection and analysis of program data.

The three Biology faculty compiled this report: Dr. Kimberly L. Keller, Dr. Robin Hirsch-Jacobson, and Dr. Sarah Greenland-White.

We do have a few students actively choose the Biology B.A program from their freshman year because this degree option gives the most flexibility in scheduling and this degree track is well suited for those pursuing ecology and conservation orientated careers. However, often students wanting more control in developing their own Biology Degree or who transfer into the program are now opting into this program due to its flexibility. The Biology Department has based our Assessment of our program around three main areas: (1) our core Biology courses; (2) direct Data Analysis related to two Objectives; and (3) the Biology Major Field Test. Our core Biology courses include General Biology I & II (BIO114 & BIO124), Genetics (BIO231), and Evolution (BIO401). There were areas in which our BA Biology majors did not meet the benchmark for our Objectives. Our benchmarks for all of the core Biology courses were Met, except for the benchmark in BIO124, as only 57% of the students in the course scored a 70% or better on the assessment questions. The areas where our BA students did not meet the criterion were all of the benchmarks for the Biology Major Field Test (MFT) taken by our graduating seniors; however, the primary reason was due to the extremely small size of the cohort. Summaries and improvement narratives are included under each assessment field within this report where we feel action is required.

The Major Field Test (MFT) was given to our graduating seniors during the Student Performance Day held March 30. The only difference this year was the students were proctored remotely since the seating in all computer rooms was unable to handle the 22 Biology Seniors (both BA and BS Majors) taking the MFT. We also need to realize the small cohort size for the B.A. seniors, this year the cohort was five (5), four (4) if we remove a true outlier. Such a small sample size makes interpreting the data for this program difficult because the low number of data points really exaggerates any difficulties a single student may have had in the any of the given content area. Based on the MFT of the Biology BA Senior students, the average score for the cohort per section did not meet the benchmark of a cohort average of 53 or higher for Sections I, II, III, and IV of MFT. In addition, the second criterion for all of the MFT sections was also not met, as the 60% of student did not score a 51 or higher on Sections I, II, and IV; or score a 46 or higher on Section III. In addition, only one BA senior scored in the 50th percentile or higher on the total score of the MFT. Part of the problem with these data is the fact that there are only 4 students in this cohort, thus greatly exaggerating any faults. Many of the scores were just below the benchmark, and the "Not Met" is simply due to the small "n" number in this cohort. A small cohort size always exaggerates any deficiencies in this group and we were not surprised this cohort did not meet any of the benchmarks associated with the MFT. While we will definitely have discussions regarding the content and changes to this MFT to determine if we need to change any benchmarks for the 2021 – 2022 academic year. We will continue to use the MFT to assess student knowledge and the effectiveness of the program; we do acknowledge the fact that a poor score by one or two students has the ability to really pull down the average score for a given section. One idea the Biology faculty are considering is using a "median score" of 53 instead of the "average score" of 53 as our overall cohort tend to be small (< 20 students of graduating seniors, both BA and BS) with often with a single outlier. When looking at the graduating seniors as a whole (both B.A. and B.S.), it appears the benchmark is satisfactory for the MFT.

In previous years, we have combined data of all degree programs if the BA Biology graduating senior cohort is less than three students. This year, we had a BA Biology graduating senior cohort of five students. While enough BA graduating senior students to calculate the MFT criterion for the various Biology Objectives, it is still an extremely small cohort. Amongst these five seniors there was one student was a true outlier, not only to the BA scores, but to all the Biology Senior scores, having a score in the 1 percentile, the lowest possible percentile score on the MFT. This took the cohort to only four students, making it even harder for this cohort to meet or exceed the criterion of each Objective. Even with a cohort of four, while the benchmarks were not met, the cohort as a whole did very well on all portions of the MFT and their scores were consistent with the performance of these students in the courses in the Biology program throughout their time here at William Woods. We are not concerned our BA Biology Seniors did not meet the benchmarks for all of the MFT criterion as the main problem is the small cohort size. The Biology faculty would like to combine the yearly BA and BS Assessment report into a single report, as the core courses for these two majors are nearly identical, as the only difference is BS Biology Degree require Physics I and Physics II as part of the core requirements. The Direct Written Exam questions for Objective 1 and Objective 3 were not met; however, the reason was due to a change the Biology faculty made in the Student Performance Days and will be discussed fully below.

The problem of a small cohort for statistical significance will also exist at a university the size of William Woods, and strongly supports the usefulness of determining "knowledge added" assessment by determining "value added" to their score on the MFT. This is the fifth year we have had our incoming Biology Majors take the MFT; however, this is the fourth year we had them take the exam literally as they are entering the program. All incoming Biology Majors took the MFT during the third week of classes in the fall semester in BIO115, the laboratory associated with BIO114. As the data are for collection purposes only at this point, there is no benchmark attached to the scores for our "freshman." Our long-term assessment plan for the program will occur when these same students take the MFT as an outgoing senior and then we

will be able use the scores on the two exams to determine “value added” of each graduating student in the Biology Program at William Woods University.

The benchmark of 50% of students scoring at the 50th percentile rank or higher (Objective 4) was also “Not Met” as only 20% of our students (n=5) reached that benchmark this year. This is a combined score of all sections and the student’s overall performance on the exam, so we are a bit disappointed our students did not meet this criterion. While disappointing, this is not a huge concern for the Biology faculty due to the small cohort size and the fact the BS Biology Seniors also did meet this benchmark. When looking at the graduating seniors as a whole (both B.A. and B.S.), it appears the benchmark would still have not been met for the MFT, but the percentage would have been higher, with 41% in the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher. Therefore, we will definitely have discussions regarding the MFT content, as ETS has made changes to Biology MFT in the last few years to see how it aligns with our program objectives and our curriculum. We will take a hard look at the MFT to determine if we need to change any benchmarks for any of the Objectives for the 2021 – 2022 academic year. While we may or may not make changes to our benchmark, the Biology Faculty will continue to use the MFT to assess student knowledge and the effectiveness of the program.

All of our BA Biology graduating senior students (n=5) took the MFT and also took it their first year in the program; therefore, this spring was their second time taking the MFT. Now we have a complete cohort of BA Biology students in which were able to determine “knowledge gained/added.” We are excited that the 2021 BA Biology seniors (n=5) had an average percentile rank change of 23 percentile ranks and the average percent gain from their freshman score was 518%. If we drop the outlier in this cohort, then the average percentile rank change of 28 percentile ranks and the average percent gain from their freshman score was 648%. When looking at the percent gain of individual students, 80% of our graduating BA seniors (n=5) had a percent gain of 258% or higher. This means, even though this cohort did not meet many of the benchmarks for the MFT, during their time at William Woods they were able to more than triple their percentile rank on the MFT. When you begin looking at individual student percentile scores between their incoming year (fall 2017 or fall 2018) and their senior year (spring 2021), the data is even more impressive with an individual student gaining 49 percentile ranks while another student went from the 1<sup>st</sup> to the 17<sup>th</sup> percentile rank, making a percent gain of 1600%. Overall, the “knowledge-gain” data is most impressive, and shows that no matter what level of knowledge our students come to William Woods with, they are able to learn and gain knowledge. The Biology faculty feel this may be the most impressive assessment data because it shows our Biology Program and Curriculum are successful at increasing the scientific knowledge of our students. Between the data comparison data from 2019-2020 and 2020-2021, the Biology faculty now feel we have sufficient data to assess “knowledge gained” or “value added” for our program and will make a benchmark for the this for the 2021-2022 academic year. At the beginning of the 2021-2022 academic year, the Biology Faculty will determine what we feel the Benchmark will be for this portion of our assessment. This data will be a valuable assessment in addition to our current use of the MFT to evaluate the knowledge of our exiting seniors compared to other Biology majors on a national level. The data generated in BIO115 is being used simply as an entry-level baseline. There is no benchmark for this data and “Met” simply implies all students declared as majors at that time took the MFT.

This is the fifth year we have had our incoming Biology Majors take the MFT; however, this is the four year we had them take the exam literally as they are entering the program. All incoming Biology Majors took the MFT during the third week of classes in the fall semester in BIO115, the laboratory associated with BIO114. As the data are for collection purposes only at this point, there is no benchmark attached to the scores for our “freshman.” Our long-term assessment plan for the program will occur when these same students take the MFT as an outgoing senior and then we will be able use the scores on the two exams to determine “value added” of each graduating student in the Biology Program at William Woods University. The Biology faculty are excited about adding this new level of assessment of our seniors (as stated above). These data could show that while an outgoing senior may not meet the benchmarks of the MFT when comparing it to the national scores (our current assessment), the same student may improvement in their score, showing the program was successful as a whole as there would be a definite “value added” assessment discussed above.

Over the past several years, the Biology faculty have changed our interviews and direct Objective questions for the second- and third-year Biology Majors (“tweener”) level students to a Data Analysis assessment activity. This is the second year using a data analysis assessment tool, and the Biology Faculty redesigned this portion of the University’s Student Performance Days (SPD), again. We wanted this portion to be more of a data analysis component. Two weeks prior to assessment, students were emailed three peer-reviewed journal articles. On the SPD, our tweener students were asked to choose two of the three articles and then answer the subset of specific questions regarding the figures and the data for those 2 articles. Tweener students were provided SurveyMonkey links to the questions for the three articles and given 2 hours to complete the Article Reviews and shadow-survey. While we feel this was definitely a worthwhile activity, we do feel there are still some modifications required to use this as a learning tool for data analysis, as well as meet a

benchmark for Objective 1 and Objective 3. This year each faculty wrote questions for the article they chose and so the questions varied in the number and rigor. Next year we plan to only have two articles, so there will be no student choice in terms of article to read and comprehend, and there will be a single question for each article (possibly with multiple parts or prompts) for each article to ensure the types of questions are more similar. This change/refinement of our assessment tools will provide help ensure our students are assessed on a more individual level of ability to analyze data and assessed equally by each faculty. This change came at the expense of Direct Written Questions portion of assessment for Objective 1 and Objective 3. As those two objectives are already assessed twice, and we are extremely satisfied with this change. All three of the Biology faculty have noticed the students in our classes often struggle with data analysis, so we devised a means to assess their data analysis abilities, because being able to analyze data is a required skill in a Biology/Science career. While there are definitely some changes to the assessment needed, overall we were very pleased and will be including this as part of our Student Performance Review Days

In terms of class assessment, the faculty were to have a specific quiz or specific exam questions that more specifically addressed assessing the objective. As a whole, writing specific objective-based questions showed an increase in our assessment numbers as all but one benchmark for Biology courses were “met”.

Each year we have the “tweener” group complete a short survey self-reporting their Shadowing experiences for the previous summer (Summer 2020), the Winter Break, and any plans they are working on for Summer (2021). However, this year we decided to give the survey to everyone except our graduating students (first – third years). This also allowed our freshman to participate on SPD since the normal SPD events we schedule for them were cancelled. The Biology Faculty liked this change and plan to keep the survey of shadowing to all of our non-graduating Biology majors. While this is not a direct objective for the BA students, like it is the two BS Concentrations, it is still useful to ensure the students can achieve their career goal post-graduation.

Due to some major conflicts with our teaching schedules, weekly department meetings with all three Biology faculty took place much less frequently throughout the academic year than in years past. We hope as the 2021–2022 academic year returns to more normal post-COVID, the three faculty can find a time to meet at least bi-monthly. As mainly use of 100- and 200-level classes, one upper division courses, and the MFT for our assessment as part of the Biology Program, discussions during the generation of this report were based around whether to assess at least one of our objectives (possibly Objective 3) using the required Field courses. In addition, now that we have a full-time faculty teaching the required Anatomy & Physiology courses, with Human A & P offered on a yearly basis, it may be time to begin assessing those courses. In addition, this will be the third year for our full-time Chemistry faculty, this means we could assess some of the chemistry courses that are part of our core requirements as well. A comprehensive review of our Curriculum and Assessment maps will occur prior to the fall 2021 semester to make some possible changes and to ensure every faculty is satisfied with their respective course-specific components of the assessment of the program.

For a professions-oriented mission statement, we are satisfied with current preparation of our students, especially when you look at where our students are matriculating following graduation. Therefore, we feel only minor changes in our assessment are needed to accurately measure success of the Biology Program. Although we do feel strongly that writing one Assessment Report and combining the B.A. and B.S. students would be a much truer assessment of the Biology program as a whole and it would eliminate many “not met” benchmarks that are solely due to the extremely low sample sizes in the B.A. program.

## Improvement Narrative List

### Assessment Findings for the Assessment Measure level

Standard/Outcome	BIO.3 Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.
Legend	A
Course/Event	BIO 124
Assessment Measure	Direct - Quiz/Exam
Assessment	Not met

Findings		
Improvement Narrative		
Improvement Type	Summary	
Enrollment Requirements	We know this group of students, as a whole, were at a disadvantage due to a large portion of their senior year in high school was virtual due to COVID-19 and have trouble adapting to college. In addition, we know the overall academic score of this freshman class is lower than it has been in the past. We hope the new Director of Admissions will make a difference for incoming classes. We do not feel any need to change this benchmark.	

Standard/Outcome	BIO 2019.4 Information and Energy: Demonstrate knowledge of major conserved metabolic, signaling, heritable, and molecular processes of all life on Earth.					
Legend	A					
Course/Event	Student Performance Review					
Assessment Measure	Direct - External Testing					
Assessment Findings	Not met					
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th><th>Summary</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Request Additional Support</td><td>We still feel this is the benchmark we want our students to reach. This degree always deals with a small n number (only 5) and one student was a true outlier scoring in the 1st percentile, so that student will pull any and all of the data for this cohort.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Request Additional Support	We still feel this is the benchmark we want our students to reach. This degree always deals with a small n number (only 5) and one student was a true outlier scoring in the 1st percentile, so that student will pull any and all of the data for this cohort.
Improvement Type	Summary					
Request Additional Support	We still feel this is the benchmark we want our students to reach. This degree always deals with a small n number (only 5) and one student was a true outlier scoring in the 1st percentile, so that student will pull any and all of the data for this cohort.					

Standard/Outcome	BIO.1 Evolution: Articulate knowledge that life evolved over time via mechanisms of mutation, natural selection, and genetic drift, and that there is concrete evidence for this fundamental concept _ evolution from common ancestry _ in the unity of numerous biological processes among species.			
Legend	A			
Course/Event	Student Performance Review			
Assessment Measure	Direct - Research Paper			
Assessment Findings	Not met			
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th><th>Summary</th></tr> </thead> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary
Improvement Type	Summary			

	Revise Assignment for Assessment	The Biology Faculty feel we almost have this Assessment Tool to the way we want it. Next year, we will have 1 journal article for this Assessment in which the content is related to the objective and still contains a Data Analysis component.
	Refine Assessment Tool	This year we had multiple questions per journal article and each faculty Assessed/Scored the multiple questions they asked about their journal article and then averaged the score of the responses. This average score was then used to determine the student's average score on the questions. Next year, we plan to refine the Assessment Tool by only asking a single question (with multiple components or specific prompts). A single question would allow for all three faculty to Assess the student answers, providing a true average of their response.

Standard/Outcome	BIO.1 Evolution: Articulate knowledge that life evolved over time via mechanisms of mutation, natural selection, and genetic drift, and that there is concrete evidence for this fundamental concept _ evolution from common ancestry _ in the unity of numerous biological processes among species.					
Legend	A					
Course/Event	Student Performance Review					
Assessment Measure	Direct - External Testing					
Assessment Findings	Not met					
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th> <th>Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Request Additional Support</td> <td>Unsure why this cohort scored so low, but we do know the size of this cohort is a problem. Combine BA and BS report into one Report.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Request Additional Support	Unsure why this cohort scored so low, but we do know the size of this cohort is a problem. Combine BA and BS report into one Report.
Improvement Type	Summary					
Request Additional Support	Unsure why this cohort scored so low, but we do know the size of this cohort is a problem. Combine BA and BS report into one Report.					

Standard/Outcome	BIO.1 Evolution: Articulate knowledge that life evolved over time via mechanisms of mutation, natural selection, and genetic drift, and that there is concrete evidence for this fundamental concept _ evolution from common ancestry _ in the unity of numerous biological processes among species.					
Legend	A					
Course/Event	Student Performance Review					
Assessment Measure	Direct - External Testing					
Assessment Findings	Not met					
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th> <th>Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Request Additional</td> <td>Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 50 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Request Additional	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 50 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size
Improvement Type	Summary					
Request Additional	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 50 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size					

	Support	of this cohort is the main problem.
--	---------	-------------------------------------

Standard/Outcome	BIO.2 Interdisciplinary: Demonstrate that fundamental principles and laws of chemistry and physics are also underpinnings that govern complex living systems.					
Legend	A					
Course/Event	Student Performance Review					
Assessment Measure	Direct - External Testing					
Assessment Findings	Not met					
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th> <th>Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Request Additional Support</td> <td>Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 44 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Request Additional Support	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 44 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.
Improvement Type	Summary					
Request Additional Support	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 44 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.					

Standard/Outcome	BIO.2 Interdisciplinary: Demonstrate that fundamental principles and laws of chemistry and physics are also underpinnings that govern complex living systems.					
Legend	A					
Course/Event	Student Performance Review					
Assessment Measure	Direct - External Testing					
Assessment Findings	Not met					
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th> <th>Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Request Additional Support</td> <td>Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 51 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Request Additional Support	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 51 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.
Improvement Type	Summary					
Request Additional Support	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 51 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.					

Standard/Outcome	BIO.3 Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.	
Legend	A	

Course/Event	Student Performance Review							
Assessment Measure	Direct - Research Paper							
Assessment Findings	Not met							
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th> <th>Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Revise Assignment for Assessment</td> <td>The Biology Faculty feel we almost have this Assessment Tool to the way we want it. Next year, we will have 1 journal article for this Assessment, to ensure all students are Assessed on the same content and Data Analysis.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Refine Assessment Tool</td> <td>This year we had multiple questions per journal article and each faculty Assessed/Scored the multiple questions they asked about their journal article and then averaged the score of the responses. This average score was then used to determine the student's average score on the questions. Next year, we plan to refine the Assessment Tool by only asking a single question (with multiple components or specific prompts). A single question would allow for all three faculty to Assess the student answers, providing a true average of their response.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Revise Assignment for Assessment	The Biology Faculty feel we almost have this Assessment Tool to the way we want it. Next year, we will have 1 journal article for this Assessment, to ensure all students are Assessed on the same content and Data Analysis.	Refine Assessment Tool	This year we had multiple questions per journal article and each faculty Assessed/Scored the multiple questions they asked about their journal article and then averaged the score of the responses. This average score was then used to determine the student's average score on the questions. Next year, we plan to refine the Assessment Tool by only asking a single question (with multiple components or specific prompts). A single question would allow for all three faculty to Assess the student answers, providing a true average of their response.
Improvement Type	Summary							
Revise Assignment for Assessment	The Biology Faculty feel we almost have this Assessment Tool to the way we want it. Next year, we will have 1 journal article for this Assessment, to ensure all students are Assessed on the same content and Data Analysis.							
Refine Assessment Tool	This year we had multiple questions per journal article and each faculty Assessed/Scored the multiple questions they asked about their journal article and then averaged the score of the responses. This average score was then used to determine the student's average score on the questions. Next year, we plan to refine the Assessment Tool by only asking a single question (with multiple components or specific prompts). A single question would allow for all three faculty to Assess the student answers, providing a true average of their response.							

Standard/Outcome	BIO.3 Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.					
Legend	A					
Course/Event	Student Performance Review					
Assessment Measure	Direct - External Testing					
Assessment Findings	Not met					
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th> <th>Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Request Additional Support</td> <td>Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 44 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Request Additional Support	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 44 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.
Improvement Type	Summary					
Request Additional Support	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 44 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.					

Standard/Outcome	BIO.3 Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.	
Legend	A	
Course/Event	Student Performance Review	

Assessment Measure	Direct - External Testing					
Assessment Findings	Not met					
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th> <th>Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Request Additional Support</td> <td>Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 51 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Request Additional Support	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 51 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.
Improvement Type	Summary					
Request Additional Support	Combine BA and BS report into one Report. If you drop the outlier the Average score would be 51 and 50% scored a 51 or higher (n=4). The size of this cohort is the main problem.					

Standard/Outcome	BIO.3 Diversity in structures, functions, and systems: Demonstrate and model, through reductionist and holistic approaches, the interconnectedness of life along a continuum from molecular structures to interactions among organisms and with ecosystems.					
Legend	A					
Course/Event	Student Performance Review					
Assessment Measure	Direct - External Testing					
Assessment Findings	Not met					
Improvement Narrative	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Improvement Type</th> <th>Summary</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Request Additional Support</td> <td>Unsure why this cohort scored so low, but we do know the size of this cohort is a problem. Combine BA and BS report into one Report.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Improvement Type	Summary	Request Additional Support	Unsure why this cohort scored so low, but we do know the size of this cohort is a problem. Combine BA and BS report into one Report.
Improvement Type	Summary					
Request Additional Support	Unsure why this cohort scored so low, but we do know the size of this cohort is a problem. Combine BA and BS report into one Report.					

## Program Activities

### Student Performance Review

*Describe the department assessment day activities if not already described previously. Please articulate the nature of the assessments are conducted, explain the process for assessment that happens on these two days. Include the schedule of assessment day for your program. What does the data and outcomes tell you? What changes will you make as a result of the data? What areas are successful for the program?*

Due to the COVID-19 Protocols on campus, Student Performance Review (SPR) days were not two consecutive days as they have been in the past. This year one SPR day was in February and one day was in March. Departments were encouraged to try and use only one of the days and to keep our Program Assessment for only in the morning. Therefore, our schedule for Student Performance Days was greatly shortened greatly and modified to collect the required data for Assessment; however, we dropped some of normal sessions of degree and career discussions. Therefore, our Student Performance Schedule include only the following events: (1) Biology MFT for our Graduating Seniors, (2) Figure Analysis of Research Article for our second- and third-year Biology Majors ("tweeners"), (3) a survey of students self-reporting their Shadowing experiences (Summer 2020, Winter Break, plan for Summer 2021) for everyone except our graduating students (first – third years), and (4) a Research Talk followed by a Meet & Greet Session.

We have always used Student Performance Days to have our senior students take the Major Field Test (MFT) in Biology – and this year was no difference. The only difference is students were proctored remotely since the seating in all computer rooms was unable to handle the 22 Biology Seniors (5 BA and 17 BS Majors) taking the MFT. The Biology Faculty are considering changing our benchmark from the “average score” to the median score” to help eliminate some of the issues when one student does poorly on the MFT.

This academic year, we were able to administer the MFT to the incoming class of Biology Majors in the fall by doing it the second week of classes in the fall semester in BIO115, the laboratory associated with BIO114. This change was made in order to truly capture the entry level knowledge base of each of our incoming students majoring in Biology. Of our five (5) BA Biology graduating senior students who took the MFT, all five of them also took the MFT their first year in the program; therefore, this spring was their second time taking the MFT. Now we have a large cohort for BA Biology students in which were able to determine “knowledge gained/added.” At the beginning of the 2021-2022 academic year, the Biology Faculty will use data from the 2019-2020 and the 2020-2021 academic years to determine what the Benchmarks will want to use for this “knowledge-gained” portion of our assessment. This data will be a valuable assessment in addition to our current use of the MFT to evaluate the knowledge of our exiting seniors compared to other Biology majors on a national level. The data generated in BIO115 is being used simply as an entry-level baseline. There is no benchmark for this data and “Met” simply implies all students declared as majors at that time took the MFT.

We changed our interviews and direct Objective questions for the second- and third-year Biology Majors (“tweener”) level students to a Data Analysis assessment activity. This is the second year using a data analysis year, and the Biology Faculty redesigned this portion of the University’s Student Performance Days (SPD), again. To be more of a data analysis component. Two weeks prior to assessment, students were emailed three peer-reviewed journal articles. On the SPD, students were asked to choose two of the three articles and then answer the subset of specific questions regarding the figures and the data for those 2 articles. On SPD, students were provided SurveyMonkey links to the questions for the three articles and given 2 hours to complete the Article Reviews. While we feel this was definitely a worthwhile activity, we do feel there are still some modifications to use this as a learning tool for data analysis as well as meet an objective. Therefore, next year we plan to only have two articles, so there will be no student choice in terms of article to read and comprehend, and there will be a single question for each article the students will be required to answer. This year each faculty wrote questions for the article they chose, next year we plan to write a single question (possibly with multiple parts or prompts) for each article to ensure the types of questions are more similar. This change will provide us the ability to truly assess each student’s ability to analyze data and to assess students on a more equal level. This change came at the expense of Direct Written Questions portion of assessment, and we are extremely satisfied with this change. We know we need to refine our assessment tools to help ensure our students are assessed on a more individual level and equally by each faculty.

Each year we have the “tweener” group complete a short survey self-reporting their Shadowing experiences for the previous summer (Summer 2020), the Winter Break, and any plans they are working on for Summer (2021). However, this year we decided to have everyone except our graduating students (first – third years) complete this survey in order for our freshman to participate on SPD since some other events were cancelled. The Biology Faculty liked this change and plan to keep the survey of shadowing to all of our non-graduating Biology majors. While this is not a direct objective for our Biology BA students, it is definitely beneficial for the Biology faculty to know if they are doing the things outside of the curriculum for them to be successful in the next stage of their Biology career.

Every year during Student Performance Days we bring a speaker who gives research-based talk to the entire department and all of our Biology Majors. We still held this event this spring; however due to COVID-19 protocols, the research talk was held virtually. The Speaker was Dr. Deepa Ramamurthy, UC Berkeley Systems Neuroscientist, who gave a talk titled: “The Role of Experience in Shaping Sensation?” We feel it is extremely valuable for our students to witness such talks and we attempt to alternate the area of research presented each year in order to expose our students to the variety of sub-disciplines within Biology during their 4-years here at William Woods. Our students continually provide positive feedback about the speakers and it is common to hear them discussing the talk amongst themselves for the next several days. We plan to continue this as part of our student performance days. We again held a Meet & Greet/Question & Answer “ZOOM reception” after the seminar for students to interact with the speaker. Therefore, this event is definitely something we will continue to incorporate that into our Student Performance Day schedule.

Because of COVID-19, our “Impartation of Wisdom” lunch event for just our new, incoming students and our outgoing seniors had to be cancelled. The Biology faculty definitely feel this is an event worth keeping as part of Student Performance days and hope we can have it return in next academic year. Unfortunately, due to COVID we also had to cancel our 30-minute major “Question & Answer” session in which the Biology Faculty meet with our first year Biology

Major students. While not necessary for any assessment, this is definitely important for the retention of our students and will definitely reinstate this event next year.

Overall, we are very pleased with our Student Performance Days and feel we have a schedule that allows us to assess our students in a variety of manners, and the small changes mentioned above will only serve to better our assessment efforts of the Biology program

### **Student Performance Review Schedule**

*Upload the program schedule for students during Performance Reviews.*

Biology\_SPR\_Schedule\_for\_March\_30\_2021.docx  
spd\_bio\_talk.pptx

### **Senior Showcase**

*Describe program Senior Showcase activities if not detailed previously in the report? What benefit does the program gain from the activities? What if any assessment of students happens during this event? What changes if any will occur due to what is learned by faculty on Senior Showcase?*

Due to COVID-19 Senior Showcase was a bit different this year. Our entire cohort of 22 graduating Biology Seniors (5 BA seniors and 17 BS seniors), did their Senior Showcase in the Spring of 2021. Eight of these were in-person and 14 were done virtually over Zoom. Each student gave a ~10-minute presentation on a poster that they prepared. This is done like it would be for a poster session, except none of the students did original work, it was more like a poster lit review for their chosen topic.

### **Assessment Rubrics**

*Upload rubrics used for Senior Showcase or Student Performance Reviews for student assessment.*

Senior\_Showcase\_abstracts\_and\_Schedule.pdf

### **Service Learning**

*Does the Program include projects/ course content that uses the philosophy of service learning?*

Yes

No (selected)

### **Service Learning Component**

*If so, how is service learning infused in the coursework within your department? Is service or community engagement in the program mission? Describe the Service Learning Activities that your students and department engaged in this past year. How did the activities improve student learning? How did the activities benefit the community?*

N/A

### **LEAD Events**

*Highlight lead events sponsored by program faculty that are connected to program or general education objectives for the past academic year. Include a total number of lead events program faculty sponsored.*

**Dr. Kimberly L. Keller - Saturday, March 27, 2021 6:00:00 PM - SNL - Murder in the Biomes: A Live Clue Game.**  
Join Biology in this life-size Clue game. A murder has been committed! It is your goal to find out who the murderer is. But

be careful because the murderer is among you. Explore the different biomes to find the clues to who the murderer is. Limit 15 participants. Sign up for the character of your choice through the link

**Dr. Sarah Greenland-White - Thursday, April 22, 2021 – 7:00:00 PM - The Cognitive Impact of Plants** - Join us for a discussion of the effects of plants on mood, memory, and performance and see the results of research into this topic we did last year. Join Zoom Meeting

**Dr. Robin Hirsch-Jackson** - Held 58 LEAD Events during the 2020-2021 Academic year. Here are those relating to Biology

- Nature Boost Podcast SERIES - Episode 1
- Nature Boost Podcast SERIES - Episode 2
- Nature Boost Podcast SERIES - Episode 3
- Nature Boost Podcast SERIES - Episode 4
- One Planet Documentary - One Planet is a documentary series on Netflix. Watch the "The High Seas" episode.
- Our Planet - One Planet - Our Planet; the Netflix documentary series. Watch the first episode named "One Planet"
- Our Planet - Frozen World - Our Planet is a documentary series on Netflix. Watch the "Frozen World" episode.
- Protecting Our Prairies - MO Prairie Foundation Speaker - MO Prairie Foundation (MOPF) Executive Director, Carol Davit
- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Scavenger Hunt – Butterflies
- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Scavenger Hunt – Reptiles
- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Scavenger Hunt – Mammals
- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Scavenger Hunt - Prairie & Meadow Birds
- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Scavenger Hunt - Plants of Missouri
- My Octopus Teacher - Netflix Film
- David Attenborough: A Life on Our Planet - Netflix Film
- Five Senior Showcase LEAD events; three were virtual and two were in-person

### **Student Accomplishments**

*Highlight special examples of student successes in the field (academic: mentor-mentee, conference presentations, competitive internship, journal acceptance; extra-curricular: horse show championship, art exhibit). This is for any accomplishments that a student achieved outside of course work or the normal expectations of student success.*

### **Alumni Accomplishments**

*Please highlight special examples of any successes of recent graduated alumni (acceptance or graduation graduate school, employment or professional milestones. Include recent graduates.*

One of our 2021 graduates will be working as a summer intern for the Missouri Department of Conservation

A 2019 alum is now employed for "Midwest Laboratories" in Omaha, Nebraska. His job is in the environmental services department, where they perform a wide range of tests for regulatory reporting and research, including testing of wastewater, underground storage tanks, concentrated animal feeding operations, bio solids, contaminated soil, and groundwater and drinking water.

**Faculty Accomplishments**

*Highlight special examples of faculty success in the profession/field/content area. This is for any accomplishment of a faculty activity/research/professional nature.*

Dr. Kimberly L. Keller

Co-author on a publication in Microbiology Resource Announcements (MRA). Manuscript titled: "Deletion mutants, archived transposon library and tagged protein constructs of the model sulfate-reducing bacterium, *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough"

Dr. Robin Hirsch-Jacobson

Became Director of the School of Science and Health in November 2020

## Assessment Rubric

	3.000 <b>Exceeds</b>	2.000 <b>Meets</b>	1.000 <b>Falls Below Expectations</b>	N/A
Mission Statement Clearly Articulated weight: 1.000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The mission statement for the program is insightful and forward thinking. It aligns with the University Mission and learning objectives showing a clear alignment between the University and the program.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The mission statement for the program clearly articulated and aligned with the University mission.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The mission statement is minimal at best.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Comment:				
Reflection on Retention weight: 1.000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provides a detailed description on the retention numbers. The program provides new ideas on how to improve retention of their program students or articulates what they are currently doing to keep students in their program.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provides a basic reflection on the retention data provided.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program does not reflect on retention data in a detailed way.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Comment:				
Defines External Accreditation Standards weight: 1.000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provides a detailed explanation of the accreditation organizations within the field along with all the timeline and supplemental information required for accreditation.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provides a basic explanation of the accreditation organizations in the field.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program fails to provide any accreditation information.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Comment:				
General Education alignment clearly explained weight: 1.000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provides a detailed explanation of the General Education criterial and how the basic skills learned are expanded upon in the program. Details include but are not limited to: specific courses, or activities that stretch the knowledge of the specific areas.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provides a basic explanation of the General Education curriculum and how the skills learned are expanded in program courses.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provides a minimal explanation of the General Education curriculum and how the skills learned are expanded in program courses.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Comment:				
Curriculum Map alignment weight: 1.000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The curriculum map is detailed and complete.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The curriculum map is complete	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The curriculum map is not complete	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Comment:				
Assessment of Objectives weight: 1.000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assessment of objectives are spread out across the curriculum with a variety of assessment measures and each program objective is assessed a minimum of twice a year.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Each objective is assessed a minimum of 2 times a year or an assessment rotation is explained so that all objectives are assessed. The assessments are not concentrated in one class.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The assessment map is not complete or much of the assessment happens in only one course. Not all objectives are assessed annually, nor is a plan provided on assessment.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Comment:				
Data Driven Decision-making is explained weight: 1.000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Curricular and assessment changes are articulated and validated through data based decisions. Faculty discuss the data that lead to curricular decisions being made.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Curricular and assessment decisions are made based on data provided in assessment, but detailed alignment is not provided as justification for the change.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes are proposed and brought forth with little explanation on the data included in the decision, if data was included in the decision.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Comment:				
Documentation provided on assessment findings weight: 1.000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program uploads all rubric and support information to support the claims in the assessment findings along with detailed instructions on the assessment process and data analysis.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program uploads all rubric and support information to support the claims in assessment findings.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program did not upload the data to support assessment claims in the assessment findings.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

Analysis of Assessment is complete weight: 1.000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program completed assessment findings for each component identified, and provided a comprehensive summary of each assessment measure identified in the report.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program completed the assessment findings for each component and provided a summary for each assessment measure.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program did not provide a completed assessment findings for each component, nor did they complete the summary for each measure.</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Comment:			
Improvement narratives are selected with intentionality weight: 1.000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program identified Improvement Narratives that appear to move the program forward and see the bigger picture than only the specific program curriculum options</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program used the provided Improvement Narratives and selected options that made sense to the objectives and issues within the assessment.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program did not use any improvement narratives, or the ones chosen are not aligned with assessment results.</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Comment:			
Student Performance Review weight: 1.000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program described and provided a detailed account of Student performance Review activities. Data evidence provided and detailed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provided the schedule and a brief description of Student Performance Review with data of the results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program did not provide complete explanation on Student Performance Review nor did they provide data results.</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Comment:			
Senior Showcase weight: 1.000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program had all senior students participate in Senior Showcase and provided a detailed explanation of their expectation and the presentations presented.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program described the Senior showcase activities and provided some evidence of what was presented.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Little to no content of Senior showcase was provided.</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Comment:			
Co Curricular activities weight: 1.000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program detailed the activities of LEAD and other co-curricular programing that was provided throughout the year. They provided numerous events for students.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provided a listing of LEAD events and activities provided.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provided little to no description of the Co-curricular activities provided throughout the year.</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Comment:			
Faculty, alumni, and Student accomplishments weight: 1.000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provided detail updates on successes on Students, Alumni and Faculty with added information explaining the kinds of success that were experienced.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provided a listing of information on Students, Alumni, and faculty accomplishments.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The program provided little to no data on students, alumni, faculty accomplishments.</li> </ul>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
	Comment:			